Reducing the model's features

FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R

Jorge Zazueta

Research Professor and Head of the Modeling Group at the School of Economics, UASLP





Reasons to reduce the number of features

Eliminating irrelevant or low-information variables can have benefits, including

- Reduce model variance without significantly increasing bias
- Increase out-of-sample model performance
- Reducing computation time
- Decreasing model complexity
- Improving interpretability



Sifting data through variable importance

Fitting a model with all features

```
lr_recipe_full <-</pre>
  recipe(Loan_Status ~., data = train) %>%
  update_role(Loan_ID, new_role = "ID")
```

```
lr_workflow_full <-</pre>
  workflow() %>%
  add_model(lr_model) %>%
  add_recipe(lr_recipe_full)
```

```
lr fit full <-
  lr_workflow_full %>%
  fit(data = train)
```

Graphing variable vip

lr fit full %>% extract_fit_parsnip() %>% vip(aesthetics = list(fill = "steelblue"))

Variable importance





Build a reduced model using the formula syntax

We can add features directly by using the basic R formula syntax.

```
# Create recipe
recipe_formula <-</pre>
  recipe(Loan_Status ~ Credit_History + Property_Area +
            LoanAmount, data = train)
```

```
# Bundle with model
workflow_formula <- # Bundle with model</pre>
  workflow() %>% add_model(lr_model) %>%
  add_recipe(recipe_formula)
```



Build a reduced model by creating a features vector

A feature vector can be passed used to select features before training.

Feature vector features <- c("Credit_History", "Property_Area", "LoanAmount", "Loan_Status")</pre>

Training and testing data train_features <- train %>% select(all_of(features)) test_features <- test %>% select(all_of(features))

Create recipe and bundle with model recipe_features <- recipe(Loan_Status ~., data = train_features)</pre> workflow_features <- workflow() %>% add_model(lr_model) %>% add_recipe(recipe_features)



Creating the augmented objects

Augmented objects for both approaches	Both ways return the so
<pre>lr_aug_formula <- workflow_formula %>% fit(data = train) %>% augment(new_data = test)</pre>	<pre>all_equal(lr_aug_fea lr_aug_formula %>% select(all_of(featur starts_with(".pred")</pre>
<pre>lr_aug_features <- workflow_features %>% fit(data = train_features) %>%</pre>	[1] TRUE

augment(new_data = test_features)

atacamp

same results

atures,

res),)))

Comparing the full and reduced models

Using all features

Using top 3 features*

#	A tibble:	: 2 × 3	
	.metric	.estimator	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	accuracy	binary	0.842
2	roc_auc	binary	0.744

```
lr fit formula <- # Fit workflow</pre>
  workflow_formula %>%
 fit(train)
lr_aug_formula <- # Augment</pre>
 lr_fit_formula %>%
  augment(new_data = test)
lr_aug_formula %>% # Evaluate
  class_evaluate(truth = Loan_Status,
                  .pred_Y)
```

Ŧ	#	A tibble:	: 2 × 3	
		.metric	.estimator	.estima
		<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	<db< td=""></db<>
1	1	accuracy	binary	0.8
1	2	roc_auc	binary	0.7

acamp

estimate = .pred_class, ate 1> 42 33

Let's practice!



Shrinkage methods FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R



Jorge Zazueta

Research Professor and Head of the Modeling Group at the School of Economics, UASLP



Two common regularization techniques

Lasso

Ridge

- Adds penalty term proportional to absolute value of model weights
- Encourages some weights to become exactly zero
- Effectively eliminates the corresponding features
- Can be an automated feature selection method

- Adds penalty term proportional to square of model weights
- like Lasso
- But can effectively reduce overfitting

Does not shrink some coefficients to zero

A first look at Lasso

Set up the model

```
recipe <- # Define recipe</pre>
recipe(Loan_Status ~ ., data = train) %>%
  step_normalize(all_numeric_predictors()) %>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  update_role(Loan_ID, new_role = "ID")
# set up model
model_lasso_manual <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glmnet") %>%
  set_args(mixture = 1, penalty = .2)
# Bundle in workflow
workflow_lasso_manual <-</pre>
  workflow() %>%
  add_model(model_lasso_manual) %>%
  add_recipe(recipe)
```

Fit and inspect

<pre>fit_lasso_manual <- # Fit work</pre>
workflow_lasso_manual %>%
fit(train)
#Inspect coefficients
tidy(fit_lasso_manual)

# A tibble: 15 × 3	
term	est
<chr></chr>	
1 (Intercept)	-
<pre>2 ApplicantIncome</pre>	
3 CoapplicantIncome	
4 LoanAmount	
<pre>5 Loan_Amount_Term</pre>	
<pre>6 Credit_History</pre>	-
7 Gender_Female	

kflow

imate	penalty		
<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>		
9.816	0.2		
9	0.2		
9	0.2		
9	0.2		
9	0.2		
9.220	0.2		
9	0.2		
• • •	• • •		

Simple logistic regression vs. Lasso



datacamp

Hyperparameter tuning

Setting a model with tuning

```
model_lasso_tuned <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glmnet") %>%
  set_args(mixture = 1,
  penalty = tune())
```

```
workflow_lasso_tuned <-</pre>
  workflow() %>%
  add_model(model_lasso_tuned) %>%
  add_recipe(recipe)
```

```
penalty_grid <- grid_regular(</pre>
  penalty(range = c(-3, 1)),
  levels = 30)
```

Looking at the tuning output

tune_output <- tune_grid(</pre> workflow_lasso_tuned, resamples = vfold_cv(train, v = 5), metrics = metric_set(roc_auc), grid = penalty_grid) autoplot(tune_output)





Exploring the results

Auto-chosen features

best_penalty <-</pre> select_by_one_std_err(tune_output, metric = 'roc_auc', desc(penalty))

Fit Final Model final_fit<finalize_workflow(workflow_lasso_tuned, best_penalty) %>% fit(data = train)

final_fit_se %>% tidy()

# A tibble: 15 × 3					
term	estimate	penalty			
<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>			
1 (Intercept)	-0.660	0.0452			
<pre>2 ApplicantIncome</pre>	0	0.0452			
3 CoapplicantIncome	0	0.0452			
4 LoanAmount	0	0.0452			
<pre>5 Loan_Amount_Term</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>6 Credit_History</pre>	-0.948	0.0452			
7 Gender_Female	0	0.0452			
8 Married_Yes	-0.191	0.0452			
<pre>9 Dependents_X1</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>10 Dependents_X2</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>11 Dependents_X3.</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>12 Education_Not.Graduate</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>13 Self_Employed_Yes</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>14 Property_Area_Rural</pre>	0	0.0452			
<pre>15 Property_Area_Semiurban</pre>	-0.163	0.0452			



Simple logistic regression vs. tuned Lasso



atacamp

Ridge regularization

Ridge is the option when mixture = 0

```
model_ridge_tuned <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glmnet") %>%
  set_args(mixture = 0, penalty = tune())
```

```
workflow_ridge_tuned <-</pre>
  workflow() %>%
  add_model(model_ridge_tuned) %>%
  add_recipe(recipe)
```

```
tune_output <- tune_grid(</pre>
  workflow_ridge_tuned,
  resamples = vfold_cv(train, v = 5),
  metrics = metric_set(roc_auc),
  grid = penalty_grid)
```

tune_output <- tune_grid(</pre> workflow_ridge_tuned, resamples = $vfold_cv(train, v = 5)$, metrics = metric_set(roc_auc), grid = penalty_grid) autoplot(tune_output)





Ridge regularization

```
best_penalty <-</pre>
select_by_one_std_err(tune_output,
metric = 'roc_auc', desc(penalty))
best_penalty
```

```
final_fit<-
finalize_workflow(workflow_ridge_tuned,
best_penalty) %>%
  fit(data = train)
```

tidy(final_fit)

- # A tibble: 15×3 term <chr>
 - 1 (Intercept)
 - 2 ApplicantIncome
- 3 CoapplicantIncome
- 4 LoanAmount
- 5 Loan_Amount_Term
- 6 Credit_History
- 7 Gender_Female
- 8 Married Yes
- 9 Dependents_X1
- **10** Dependents_X2
- 11 Dependents_X3.
- 12 Education_Not.Graduate
- 13 Self_Employed_Yes
- 14 Property_Area_Rural

estimate	penalty
<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
.799	10
0.00232	10
0.0000537	10
0.00291	10
0.00161	10
0.0245	10
0.00850	10
0.0140	10
0.00497	10
0.0100	10
0.00259	10
0.00308	10
0.00892	10
0109	10

Ridge vs. Lasso



datacamp

Let's practice!



Putting it all together together FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R

Jorge Zazueta

Research Professor. Head of the Modeling Group at the School of Economics, UASLP





A stylized process modeling flow

Typical high-level modeling steps.





A stylized process modeling flow

Typical high-level modeling steps.



Prepare

Start by doing some basic housekeeping and setting up our splits.

```
loans <- # Basic housekeeping</pre>
  loans %>%
  mutate(across(where(is_character),
                   as_factor)) %>%
  mutate(across(Credit_History,
                   as_factor))
```

```
set.seed(123) # Set up splits
split <- initial_split(loans,</pre>
         strata = Loan_Status)
test <- testing(split)</pre>
train <- training(split)</pre>
```

glimpse(train)

Ro	ows: 460		
Сс	olumns: <mark>13</mark>		
\$	Loan_ID	<fct></fct>	L
\$	Gender	<fct></fct>	М
\$	Married	<fct></fct>	Y
\$	Dependents	<fct></fct>	1
\$	Education	<fct></fct>	G
\$	Self_Employed	<fct></fct>	N
\$	ApplicantIncome	<dbl></dbl>	4
\$	CoapplicantIncome	<dbl></dbl>	1
\$	LoanAmount	<dbl></dbl>	1
\$	Loan_Amount_Term	<dbl></dbl>	3
\$	Credit_History	<fct></fct>	1
\$	Property_Area	<fct></fct>	R
\$	Loan_Status	<fct></fct>	Ν

P001003... lale, Ma... 'es, No,... , 0, 0,... raduate... lo, No, ... 583, 18... 508, 28... 28, 114... 60, 360... , 1, 0,... Rural, R... I, N, N,...

Preprocess

Our recipe can be quite short or very complex.

recipe <- recipe(Loan_Status ~ .,</pre> data = train) %>% update_role(Loan_ID, new_role = "ID") %>% step_normalize(all_numeric_predictors()) %>% step_impute_knn(all_predictors()) %>% step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors())

recipe Recipe Inputs: role #variables TD 1 outcome 1 predictor 11

Operations:

Centering and scaling **for** all_numeric_predictors() K-nearest neighbor imputation **for** all_predictors() Dummy variables from all_nominal_predictors()



Model

Set up workflow

```
lr_model <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glmnet") %>%
  set_args(mixture = 1, penalty = tune())
```

```
lr_penalty_grid <- grid_regular(</pre>
  penalty(range = c(-3, 1)),
  levels = 30)
```

```
lr_workflow <-
  workflow() %>%
  add_model(lr_model) %>%
  add_recipe(recipe)
```

lr_workflow

```
--Workflow -----
Preprocessor: Recipe
Model: logistic_reg()
```

```
-- Preprocessor -----
```

- 3 Recipe Steps
- step_normalize()
- step_impute_knn()
- step_dummy()

```
-- Model -----
```

```
Main Arguments:
  penalty = tune()
  mixture = 1
Computational engine: glmnet
```



FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R

Logistic Regression Model Specification (classification)

Assess

Tune penalty for Lasso

ROC_AUC vs. Regularization



1e-02

1e-03





Assess

Fitting the final model

```
best_penalty <-</pre>
select_by_one_std_err(lr_tune_output,
metric = 'roc_auc', desc(penalty))
```

```
lr_final_fit<-</pre>
finalize_workflow(lr_workflow, best_penalty) %>%
  fit(data = train)
```

```
lr_final_fit %>%
 augment(test) %>%
 class_evaluate(truth = Loan_Status,
              estimate = .pred_class,
                         .pred_Y)
```

Our performance metrics

# A tibble:	: 2 × 3	
.metric	.estimator	.e
<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	
1 accuracy	binary	
2 roc_auc	binary	

stimate <dbl> 0.818 0.813

Let's practice!



Congratulations! FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R



Jorge Zazueta

Research Professor. Head of the Modeling Group at the School of Economics, UASLP



You've gone a great distance.

From zero to hero in four lessons!







Where to go from here?

Data science is a never ending journey that keeps refreshing itself. These are some datacamp courses that you might considering as next steps.

- Dimensionality reduction in R
- Advanced dimensionality reduction in R
- Modeling with tidymodels in R



Go get them all! FEATURE ENGINEERING IN R

