Introduction to boosting

MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R



Sandro Raabe Data Scientist



Single classifier



R datacamp



latacamp



R datacamp



R datacamp



R datacamp



latacamp



- First famous boosting algorithm: Adaboost = Adaptive Boosting ullet
- Idea: Change weight of wrongly classified training examples in subsequent trainings





- First famous boosting algorithm: Adaboost = Adaptive Boosting ullet
- Idea: Change weight of wrongly classified training examples in subsequent trainings \bullet





- First famous boosting algorithm: Adaboost = Adaptive Boosting ullet
- Idea: Change weight of wrongly classified training examples in subsequent trainings \bullet



- First famous boosting algorithm: Adaboost = Adaptive Boosting
- Idea: Change weight of wrongly classified training examples in subsequent trainings



Improved by adding *gradient descent* lacksquare

Coding: Specify a boosted ensemble

Specify the model class boost_tree() %>% # Set the mode set_mode("classification") %>% # Set the engine set_engine("xgboost")

Boosted Tree Model Specification (classification)

Computational engine: xgboost

• Easy interface to boosting through tidymodels !







Let's boost!



Gradient boosting

MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R



Sandro Raabe Data Scientist



Recap: boosting

- Uses weak learners (e.g. decision trees with only one split) which perform slightly better than random chance
- Adds up these weak learners and filters out correct predictions
- Handles remaining difficult observations at each step

- AdaBoost: first popular boosting algorithm \bullet
- Gradient Boosting: improvement of AdaBoost



Comparison

Adaboost

- Uses decision stumps as weak learners
- Attaches weights to observations:
 - High weight for difficult observations 0
 - Low weight for correct predictions 0

Gradient boosting

- Uses small decision trees as weak learners
- Loss function instead of weights
- Loss function optimization by gradient \bullet descent



Pros & cons of boosting

Advantages

- Among the best-performing machine learning models
- Good option for unbalanced data

Disadvantages

- Prone to overfitting
- Training can be slow (depending on \bullet *learning rate* hyperparameter)
- Many tuning hyperparameters



Hyperparameters for gradient boosting

Known from simple decision trees

- min_n : minimum number of data points in a node that is required to be split further
- tree_depth : maximum depth of the tree / number of splits

Known from random forests and bagged trees:

- sample_size : amount of data exposed to the fitting routine
- trees: number of trees in the ensemble



Hyperparameters for gradient boosting

Known from random forests:

mtry : number of predictors randomly sampled at each split

Special for boosted trees:

- **learn_rate** : rate at which the boosting algorithm adapts from iteration to iteration
- loss_reduction : reduction in the loss function required to split further
- stop_iter : The number of iterations without improvement before stopping



Let's practice!



Optimize the boosted ensemble

MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R



Sandro Raabe Data Scientist



Starting point: untuned performance

collect_metrics(cv_results)

# A tibble:	1 x 3	
.metric	.mean	n
<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>
1 roc_auc	0.951	5

• 95% - not bad for untuned model!









grid_regular() grid_random()





grid_regular() grid_random()



MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

vfold_cv()

















Step 1: Create the tuning spec

```
# Create the specification with placeholders
boost_spec <- boost_tree(</pre>
    trees = 500,
    learn_rate = tune(),
    tree_depth = tune(),
    sample_size = tune()) %>%
  set_mode("classification") %>%
  set_engine("xgboost")
```

Boosted Tree Model Specification (classification)

```
Main Arguments:
 trees = 500
 tree_depth = tune()
 learn_rate = tune()
 sample_size = tune()
```

```
    Usual specification
```

Major difference: use tune() to create placeholders for values to be tuned

Step 2: Create the tuning grid

Create a regular grid tunegrid_boost <- grid_regular(parameters(boost_spec),</pre>

levels = 2)

Create a random grid grid_random(parameters(boost_spec),

size = 8)

#	A tibble: 8	x 3
	tree_depth	learn_rate
	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>
1	11	0.000000249
2	12	0.000000039
3	15	0.0000000131
4	15	0.0000216
5	10	0.000000053
6	14	0.0395
7	2	0.000000828
8	9	0.0000254

#	A tibble: 8	x 3		
	tree_depth	learn_rate	sample_size	
	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	
1	1	0.0000000001	0.1	
2	15	0.0000000001	0.1	
3	1	0.1	0.1	
4	15	0.1	0.1	
5	1	0.0000000001	1	
6	15	0.0000000001	1	
7	1	0.1	1	
8	15	0.1	1	

tacamp

sample_size
<dbl></dbl>
0.858
0.856
0.220
0.125
0.759
0.270
0.904
0.473

Step 3: The tuning

Arguments for tune_grid():

- Dummy specification
- Model formula
- Resamples/folds
- Parameter grid \bullet
- Metric list in metric_set()

Function call:

Tune along the grid tune_results <- tune_grid(</pre> boost_spec, still_customer ~ ., grid = tunegrid_boost, metrics = metric_set(roc_auc))



MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

resamples = vfold_cv(customers_train, v = 6),

Visualize the result

Plot the results autoplot(tune_results)

datacamp



Step 4: Finalize the model

Select the final hyperparameters best_params <- select_best(tune_results)</pre>

best_params

A tibble: 1 x 4 tree_depth learn_rate sample_size .config <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <chr> 8 0.1 0.55 Model17 1

Finalize the specification final_spec <- finalize_model(boost_spec,</pre> best_params) final_spec Boosted Tree Model Specification Main Arguments: trees = 500tree_depth = 8 learn_rate = 0.1 sample_size = 0.55 Computational engine: xgboost



Last step: Train the final model

final_model <- final_spec %>% fit(formula = still_customer ~ ., data = customers_train)

final_model

Fit time: 2.3s ##### xgb.Booster raw: 343.8 Kb nfeatures : 37 evaluation_log: iter training_error 0.046403 1 100 0.002592



Your turn!

Model comparison

MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

Sandro Raabe Data Scientist

Motivation

Compare AUC

# A tibble: 4 x 3		
model	.metric	.estimate
1 decision_tree	roc_auc	
2 bagged_trees	roc_auc	
3 random_forest	roc_auc	
4 boosted_trees	roc_auc	

Compare ROC curves

preds_boosting
preds_forest

R datacamp

- sting preds_bagging
- st preds_tree

bind_cols(decision_tree

#	Α	tibble: 1,01	1 x 1			
	Ķ	oreds_tree				
		<dbl></dbl>				
1	L	0.144				
	2	0.441				
14	3	0.144				
۷	4	0.776				
	5	0.441				
Ċ	5	0.144				
-	7	0.144				
8	3	0.441				
#	•	. with 1,003	more	rows		

latacamp

bind_cols(decision_tree, bagged_trees

#	Α	t	ibble	: 1,	,011	. х	2	
	ŗ	ore	eds_t	ree	pre	ds_	ba	igging
			<d< td=""><td>bl></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><dbl></dbl></td></d<>	bl>				<dbl></dbl>
1			0.1	144				0.115
2	2		0.	441				0.326
3	5		0.1	144				0.115
۷	ł		0.'	776				0.773
5	5		0.	441				0.326
6	,)		0.1	144				0.115
7	7		0.1	144				0.115
8	3		0.	441				0.877
#	•	•	with	1,(903	mor	е	rows

tacamp

bind_cols(decision_tree, bagged_trees, random_forest

# A t	ibble: 1,011 x 3			
pr	eds_tree preds_b	agging	preds_forest	
	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	
1	0.144	0.115	0	
2	0.441	0.326	0	
3	0.144	0.115	0	
4	0.776	0.773	0.286	
5	0.441	0.326	0.15	
6	0.144	0.115	0	
7	0.144	0.115	0	
8	0.441	0.877	0.7	
#	with 1,003 more	rows		

R datacamp

bind_cols(decision_tree, bagged_trees, random_forest, boosted_trees
)

# A	tibble: 1,011	x 4		
p	reds_tree pred	s_bagging pr	eds_forest pre	ds_boosting
	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
1	0.144	0.115	0	0.136
2	0.441	0.326	0	0.149
3	0.144	0.115	0	0.116
4	0.776	0.773	0.286	0.319
5	0.441	0.326	0.15	0.199
6	0.144	0.115	0	0.116
7	0.144	0.115	0	0.116
8	0.441	0.877	0.7	0.823
#	. with 1,003 m	ore rows		

R datacamp

# A ⁻	tibble: 1,011	L x 5			
р	reds_tree pre	eds_bagging	preds_forest	preds_boosting	still_customer
	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<fct></fct>
1	0.144	0.115	0	0.136	no
2	0.441	0.326	0	0.149	no
3	0.144	0.115	0	0.116	no
4	0.776	0.773	0.286	0.319	yes
5	0.441	0.326	0.15	0.199	no
6	0.144	0.115	0	0.116	no
7	0.144	0.115	0	0.116	no
8	0.441	0.877	0.7	0.823	yes
#	. with 1,003	more rows			

R datacamp

Calculate decision tree AUC

Calculate the AUC measure

roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_tree)

#	A tibble:	1 x 2
	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	roc_auc	0.911

Calculate bagged tree AUC

Calculate the AUC measure

roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_bagging)

#	A tibble:	1 x 2
	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	roc_auc	0.936

Calculate random forest AUC

Calculate the AUC measure

roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_forest)

#	A tibble:	1 x 2
	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	roc_auc	0.974

Calculate boosted AUC

Calculate the AUC measure

roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_boosting)

#	A tibble:	1 x 2
	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	roc_auc	0.984

Combine all AUCs

Combine AUCs bind_rows(roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_tree), roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_bagging), roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_forest), roc_auc(preds_combined, truth = still_customer, estimate = preds_boosting))

#_/	A tibble	e: 4 x 2
	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1 1	roc_auc	0.911
2 1	roc_auc	0.936
3 I	roc_auc	0.974
4	roc_auc	0.984

Combine all AUCs

#	A tibble: 4 x 3		
	model	.metric	.estimate
	<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1	decision_tree	roc_auc	0.911
2	bagged_trees	roc_auc	0.936
3	random_forest	roc_auc	0.974
4	boosted_trees	roc_auc	0.984

Reformat the results

Reshape the predictions into long format

predictions_long <- tidyr::pivot_longer(preds_combined,</pre>

```
cols = starts_with("preds_"),
names_to = "model",
values_to = "predictions")
```

# A tibble: 4,044 x	3	
still_customer	model	predictions
<fct></fct>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
1 no	preds_tree	0.144
2 no	preds_bagging	0.102
3 no	preds_forest	0.0333
4 no	preds_boosting	0.169
5 yes	preds_tree	0.441
6 no	preds_bagging	0.285
7 no	preds_forest	0.36
8 no	preds_boosting	0.184
# with 4,036 mor	re rows	

R datacamp

Calculate cutoff values

Group by model
cutoffs <- predictions_long %>%
 group_by(model) %>%
 # Calculate values for every cutoff
 roc_curve(truth = still_customer, estimate = predictions)

A tibble: 668 x 4

Groups: _ model [4]

model .thresh		old specificity sensitivity		
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
1	preds_bagging	-Inf	0	1
2	preds_bagging	0.0157	0	1
3	preds_bagging	0.0202	0.536	0.975
4	preds_bagging	0.0254	0.537	0.975
5	preds_bagging	0.0271	0.665	0.938
6	preds_bagging	0.0315	0.681	0.938
Ħ	with 662 more	rows		

R datacamp

Plot ROC curves

Convert to plot autoplot(cutoffs)

Let's compare!

Wrap-up MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

Sandro Raabe Data Scientist

1. Data splitting - confusion matrix - accuracy

truth prediction	yes	no
yes	378	8
no	2	132

2. Regression - cross-validation - bias-variance tradeoff

Cross-validated out-of-sample MAE

atacamp

MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

Model Complexity

3. Tuning - AUC - bagging - random forest

datacamp

4. Boosting & model comparison

datacamp

preds_forest

Thank you! MACHINE LEARNING WITH TREE-BASED MODELS IN R

