# Should we parallelize?

PARALLEL PROGRAMMING IN R



Nabeel Imam Data Scientist



# Let's construct a building

Building a floor on top of the last one: sequential

Installing windows to finished structure: parallel







# The sequential-parallel scale





# Parallel

# A classic numerical operation

Calculating the square roots of a million numbers

numbers <- 1:100000

start <- Sys.time()</pre> sq\_roots <- lapply(numbers, sqrt)</pre> end <- Sys.time()</pre>

end - start

Time difference of 1.044573 secs



# How could we parallelize the square root?





# How could we parallelize the square root?



# How could we parallelize the square root?





# A parallelized numerical operation

The square roots of a million numbers in parallel

library(parallel)

my\_cluster <- makeCluster(3)</pre>

start <- Sys.time()</pre> sq\_roots <- parLapply(my\_cluster, numbers, sqrt)</pre> end <- Sys.time()</pre>

stopCluster(my\_cluster)

end - start

Time difference of 0.8416824 secs







#### 1 million square roots





#### 1 million square roots





#### 1 million square roots



#### 1 million square roots



#### 1 million square roots

# So, should we parallelize?

For a sufficiently complex task, consider:

### Pros

- Faster than sequential
- More cost-efficient in the long run

Cons

- Requires special programming skills (but  $\bullet$ *you* are all set!)
- High memory usage  $\bullet$



# Let's practice!



# Parallelization in R

#### PARALLEL PROGRAMMING IN R



Nabeel Imam Data Scientist



# A practical example

## The data

#### print(file\_list)

- [1] "./uni\_data\_country/Argentina.csv"
- [2] "./uni\_data\_country/Armenia.csv"
- [3] "./uni\_data\_country/Australia.csv"
- [4] "./uni\_data\_country/Austria.csv"
- [5] "./uni\_data\_country/Azerbaijan.csv"
- [6] "./uni\_data\_country/Bahrain.csv"
- [7] "./uni\_data\_country/Bangladesh.csv"
- [8] "./uni\_data\_country/Belarus.csv"
- [9] "./uni\_data\_country/Belgium.csv"
- [10] "./uni\_data\_country/Bolivia.csv"



• • •

# Add a column

```
for (file in file_list) {
```

```
df <- read.csv(file)</pre>
```

```
df$top100 <- NA
```

```
for (r in 1:nrow(df)) {
  df$top100[r] <- df$world_rank[r] <= 100
}
write.csv(df, file)
```



}

# Profiling

## Code

```
library(profvis)
profvis({
  for (file in file_list) {
    df <- read.csv(file)</pre>
    df$top100 <- NA
    for (r in 1:nrow(df)) {
      df$top100[r] <- df$Rank[r] <= 100
    }
    write.csv(df, file)
  }
```

## })

## Output

Flame	Graph Data		
<expr></expr>	•	Memory	Time
1	profvis({		
2	<pre>for (file in file_list) {</pre>		
3			
4	df <- read.csv(file)	3.6	40
5			
6	df\$top100 <- NA		
7			
8	<pre>for (r in 1:nrow(df)) {</pre>	0.2	50
9	df\$top100[r] <- df\$Rank[r] <= 100	1.1	30
10	}		
11			
12	write.csv(df, file)		
13	}		
14	})		
15			

# Let's parallelize

## The loop

```
for (file in file_list) {
  df <- read.csv(file)</pre>
  df$top100 <- NA
  for (r in 1:nrow(df)) {
    df$top100[r] <- df$Rank[r] <= 100
  }
  write.csv(df, file)
}
```

## **Function**

```
add_col <- function(file_path) {</pre>
```

```
df <- read.csv(file_path)</pre>
df$top100 <- NA
```

```
for (r in 1:nrow(df)) {
    df$top100[r] <- df$Rank[r] <= 100
  }
  write.csv(df, file_path)
}
```

```
cl <- makeCluster(6)</pre>
dummy <- parLapply(cl, file_list, add_col)</pre>
stopCluster(cl)
```

# **Practical considerations: number of cores**

## **Detecting cores**

## Parallelized code

detectCores()

[1] 8

cl <- makeCluster(detectCores() - 2)</pre>

dummy <- parLapply(cl, file\_list, add\_col)</pre>

stopCluster(cl)

## **Practical considerations: cluster type PSOCK cluster (default) FORK cluster**

cl <- makeCluster(detectCores() - 2)</pre>

- Creates copies of current R session
- Cores do not share memory
- Works on any OS (Windows, Mac, Linux)

cl <- makeCluster(detectCores() - 2,</pre> type = "FORK")

- Creates subprocesses from R session
- Cores share memory (faster than PSOCK)
- Does not work on Windows

# Let's exercise!



# Measuring the benefits

PARALLEL PROGRAMMING IN R



Nabeel Imam Data Scientist



# Toy example

numbers <- 1:100000

```
# Sequential
sqroots <- lapply(numbers, sqrt)</pre>
```

```
# Parallel
cl <- makeCluster(4)</pre>
sqroots <- parLapply(cl, numbers, sqrt)</pre>
stopCluster(my_cluster)
```

Which will perform better?



# **Benchmarking performance**

Run code several times to estimate average execution time

```
library(microbenchmark)
microbenchmark(
  "Sequential" = lapply(numbers, sqrt),
  "Parallel" = {
    cl <- makeCluster(4)</pre>
    parLapply(cl, numbers, sqrt)
    stopCluster(my_cluster)
 },
 times = 10
```

Unit: m	illi	seconds			
e	expr	min	mean	max	neval
Sequent	ial	633.96	838.09	993.59	10
Paral	lel	1136.95	1247.29	1557.58	10

- Simple numerical operations rarely benefit from parallelization
- Profiling gives line-by-line report,

## PARALLEL PROGRAMMING IN R

# benchmarking gives overall execution times

# The elephant in the room

sqroots <- sqrt(numbers)</pre>





# Vectorization

sqroots <- sqrt(numbers)</pre>

- Base R functions, like sqrt(), are  $\bullet$ vectorized.
- Map a single function to many inputs
- Very fast but only applicable to simple operations

```
microbenchmark(
  "Vectorized" = sqrt(numbers),
  "Sequential" = lapply(numbers, sqrt),
  "Parallel" = {
    cl <- makeCluster(4)</pre>
    parLapply(cl, numbers, sqrt)
    stopCluster(my_cluster)
  },
  times = 10)
```

Unit: milli	iseconds			
expr	min	mean	max	neval
Vectorized	2.3904	9.2071	66.303	10
Sequential	352.1166	771.7491	1004.753	10
Parallel	1191.3176	1377.6926	1700.316	10

# The bootstrap

Sampling from the current data with replacement

print(ls\_df)

#### \$`2001` Country L 1 Afghanistan 2 Albania 3 Algeria • • \$`2002` Country L 1 Afghanistan 2 Albania 3 Algeria

• • •



ife_expectancy	Year
56.3	2001
74.3	2001
71.1	2001

ife_expectancy	Year
56.8	2002
74.6	2002
71.6	2002

# **Classic version**

```
df <- ls_df$`2001`
estimates <- rep(0, 10000)</pre>
for (i in 1:10000) {
  b <- sample(df$Life_expectancy,</pre>
                replace = T)
  estimates[i] <- mean(b)</pre>
  }
```





• Confidence interval using quantiles:

#### Global life expectancy estimate,

quantile(estimates, c(0.025, 0.975))

# The good news

## Bootstraps can be parallelized

```
estimates <- rep(0, 10000)</pre>
```

```
for (i in 1:10000) {
```

```
b <- sample(df$Life_expectancy,</pre>
             replace = T)
```

```
estimates[i] <- mean(b)</pre>
}
```

```
boot_dist <- function (df) {</pre>
  estimates <- rep(0, 10000)</pre>
  for (i in 1:10000) {
     estimates[i] <- mean(b)</pre>
  }
  return(estimates)
}
cl <- makeCluster(4)</pre>
ls_dists <- parLapply(cl, ls_df, boot_dist)</pre>
stopCluster(cl)
```

# b <- sample(df\$Life\_expectancy, replace = T)</pre>

# The benefits

```
microbenchmark(
  "lapply" = lapply(ls_df, boot_dist),
  "parLapply" = {
    cl <- makeCluster(4)</pre>
    parLapply(cl, ls_df, boot_dist)
    stopCluster(cl)
  },
  times = 10
```

Unit: seconds				
expr	min	mean	max	neval
lapply	3.6938	4.2184	4.5267	10
parLapply	1.9006	2.5166	2.7292	10

How to get there:

- Profile existing code, identify slowest part
- Parallelize/optimize this step
- Benchmark and compare  $\bullet$

# Let's practice!

